

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/583,612 | 06/20/2006 | Mark Alan Schultz | PU030327 | 5962 | |
| JOSEPH J. LAKS, VICE PRESIDENT THOMSON LICENSING LLC PATENT OPERATIONS PO BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2851 | | |
| | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 03/29/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/583,612 | SCHULTZ ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Rochelle Blackman | 2851 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[汉] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 c | lune 2006 | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowa | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| ت (٠ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| |) Claim(s) is/are allowed.) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| '= | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement | | | | | |
| اساره | are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a | a) $igtiz$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | examiner. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| | 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| • | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | • | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| * 0 | application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | | ad. | | | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for a lis | t of the certified copies flot receive | su. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/20/06</u> . | 6) Other: | grandell | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/583,612

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "mask" 145 and "mask frame" 143 in FIGS. 5A, 6A, 6C, and 6E must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office

action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: on line 5 of the claim 1 and line 1 of claim 3, "pf" should be - -of- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamanaka (U.S. Patent No. 6,637,887).

Regarding claim 1, Yamanaka discloses a projection system (see FIGS. 1-27), comprising: a plurality of displays (see 3 and PI of FIG. 1 and col. 8, lines 16-20) arranged adjacent to each other to form a screen (see 3 of FIG. 1); a plurality of projectors (see 1 of FIG. 1), one corresponding to each display of the plurality of displays, wherein each projector includes a lens (see 17 of FIG. 2); a mask assembly (see 5, 6 of FIGS. 1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 13-18, 22, 25, and 26) disposed between each lens of the plurality pf projectors and the corresponding plurality of displays.

Regarding claim 2, Yamanaka discloses the projection system of claim 1 wherein the plurality of displays are arranged in an N x 1 array (see PI in FIGS. 1 and 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,612

Art Unit: 2851

Regarding claim 3, Yamanaka discloses the projection system of claim 1 wherein each of the plurality pf projectors further includes a mirror (for example, see 23 and 24 of FIG. 20).

Regarding claim 4, Yamanaka discloses the projection system of claim 3 wherein the mirror is aligned at an angle of about 45 degrees (see position of 23 and 24 in FIG. 20) in with respect to a lamp (see 11 of FIG. 20) of the projector.

Regarding claim 5, Yamanaka discloses the projection system of claim 1 wherein the mask assembly includes a mask frame (see 6 of FIG. 15) and a mask (see 5 of FIG. 15).

Regarding claim 6, Yamanaka discloses the projection system of claim 5 wherein the mask is moveable relative to the mask frame (see 5-7 in FIG. 15 and col. 13, lines 48-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamanaka (U.S. Patent No. 6,637,887) in view of Keelan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,537,166).

Yamanaka discloses the claimed invention for the mask being "disposed on the lens".

Keelan teaches providing a mask (see 10 of FIGS. 1-3) disposed on a lens (see 28 of FIG. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to dispose the mask on the lens of the "projection system" of the Yamanaka reference, as taught by Keelan for purpose of reducing the space taken up by the arrangement of elements within the "projection system", thus providing a more compact "projection system".

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rochelle Blackman Patent Examiner

RB